	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/986,716	OGAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen J. Lechert Jr.	1732
All Participants:	Status of Application:	·
(1) Stephen J. Lechert Jr.	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Armstron</u> g	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed:		
9-11		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.	. ,	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	IERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separat directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separat did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide a vector of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	ant/Applicant's Representativ	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that claims 1-8 which were elected with traverse are allowable. The examiner requested applicant to cancel claims 9-11 in favor of the allowable claims. Applicant's representative agreed to have the examiner cancel claims 9-11 by Examiner's Amendment.